Federalizing DRRM Governance in Nepal: Delineation of Roles, Responsibilities and Accountabilities

Dinanath Bhandari

Polícy Díalogue on Multí-level DRRM Governance

Disaster Preparedness Network, Kathmandu

Institutional Landscape

- Existing Policy Landscape: Constitution, DRRM Act, LGO Act, Policy, Regulations, Strategic Action Plan, DPRP Guidelines, NDRF, Relief Standards, Cabinet/council decision
- 2. Institutional Structure:
- 3. Roles and Functions:

Factors for play

- Constitutional Mandate Vs Power Vs Technical Capacity
- 2. Provisions of DRRM Act (2017), LGO Act (2017), Sectoral Acts and how we act in practice
- 3. Nature of hazards and impact of disasters





International Concepts and Practices

- Other country practices on role division Bangladesh (not-federal), Philippines, Japan, India, Pakistan, ...
- 2. International concepts on locally led DRRM
 - 1. Five Components Governance, Risk knowledge, Risk Assessment, Management and Reduction, Preparedness and Response
 - 2. Sendai Framework National and Local interventions
 - 3. Other sectors of SDGs
- 3. Conceptual progress on DRRM
 - 1. Relief Risk Reduction Resilience
 - 2. Understanding about nature and impact of hazards
 - 3. Forecasting, mitigation, resistance and management technologies
 - 4. Single to multi-hazard, cross-border, regional cooperation

Delineation of DRRM: Nature and Impact of Disasters

Categorizing hazards and disasters to delineate role, responsibility and authority/accountability

- 1. Origin: geological, hydrological, meteorological, biological, accidents
- 2. Hazards have different intensity and frequency: minor to mega earthquake, category of storms
- 3. Slow onset and rapid onset hazards: drought, flood, landslide, earthquake, lightening
- 4. Localized to extensive impact: lightening, landslide, flood, earthquake, disease outbreak
- 5. Categorizing disaster: different concepts and practices
 - 1. CRED indicators: Death (10), Affected (100), Country Decision (emergency, support call)
- 6. Disaster Categories: criteria and indicators
 - 1. Categories: L0, L1, L2 & L3; minor, major, severe, catastrophe
 - 2. Pre-designed criteria & indicators, judgmental, coping capacity based

Federalizing Institutional Landscape: Major Issues

- 1. Jurisdiction is prime factor but there are power dynamics
- 2. Technical capacity looks a key issue in assuming [sole] authority
- 3. There are gaps, ambiguities and overlaps in legal provisions between federal, provincial and local level
- 4. There are gaps, overlaps and bureaucratic resistance between sector agencies
- 5. District Administration Office's (CDO's) role is shaping in but province role yet to become clear- institutional structures and service delivery points?
- Difficult to assess local, province & federal capacity, but it is understandable.
 What is minimum required capacity is not clear.
- 7. Impact of international context, aid dependency

Lack of common understanding about structure, function and scope of work on DRRM

Delineation of DRRM: LG Capacity is Key Factor

- 1. Categories of Local Governments
 - Metropolitan, Sub-metropolitan, Urban Municipality and Rural Municipality
 - 2. Geographic Location: Himali District, Pahadi District, Inner Tarai, Tarai and Kathmandu Valley
- 2. Capacities vary with who they are, and where they are
- 3. Each LG has different capacity; effective implementation of LGO Act can solve many problems
- 4. Delineating <u>Responsibility and Accountability</u> without considering capacity is unjust
- 5. Existing capacity at local and provincial level is very low as compared to what it should be

- (१) कम्तीमा दुई लाख स्थायी बासिन्दा भएको,
- (२) पछिल्लो पाँच वर्षको वार्षिक औसत आन्तरिक आय कम्तीमा पच्चीस करोड रुपैयाँ भएको,
- (३) कम्तीमा एक सय शैयाको एक अस्पताल सहित कम्तीमा
 दुई सय शैयाको अस्पताल सुविधा भएको,
- (४) फोहरमैला प्रशोधन तथा व्यवस्थापन प्रणाली भएको,
- (५) सभाहल भएको,
- (६) राष्ट्रियस्तरको रङ्गशाला, व्यायामशाला तथा कभर्ड हल भएको,
- (७) विद्युत, खानेपानी र सञ्चार सेवाको उपलब्धता भएको,
- (८) नगरभित्रका प्रमुख सडकहरू पक्की भएको,
- (९) उच्चस्तरीय शिक्षा तथा प्राविधिक शिक्षाको सुविधा भएको,

Delineation of DRRM: Federal Mandate and Capacity

- 1. Federal Level has concurrent authority by constitution. And residual responsibilities
- 2. Most of the country capacity and power remains at federal level ('Centre') and is yet to trickle down
- Institutional, technical capacity is low as compared to what is required;
 Federal Level needs to build their own capacity in many areas
- 4. There are structural and operational challenges to devolve capacity into Province and Local Level
- 5. Inter-ministerial collaboration is challenging within federal government
- 6. DRRM Act promotes committee approach, lacks strong institutional accountability

Delineation of DRRM: Conclusion and way forward

- 1. Realization of delineation of Roles, Responsibility & Accountability between levels and agencies is growing
- Disasters should be categorized based on nature of hazards and disaster impacts to divide roles, responsibilities and accountabilities between levels and sectors
- 3. A bottom up and collaborative approach is important (a must)
- 4. The issues needs to be addressed by Act, regulation, guidelines, plans and exercises (application of mandates and power)
- 5. In our study, we recommended flexible promotional approach to decentralization of DRRM functions

Delineation of DRRM: way forward

- Indicator based category of disasters e.g., normal, large, severe, catastrophic
- Priority to LGs to exercise authority and request required support
- Allocate minimum accountabilities to LGs and higher capacity role on province and residual responsibility and ultimate accountability to federal level/agencies
- Lead and support roles of governments while all have to be involved
- Lead and support roles of sector agencies base on the nature of hazard
- 6. Role of international players (aid, actors)

Delineation of DRRM: way forward

- Common National Goal and objectives
- Integrating disaster risk reduction resilience into development interventions
- Coordination and collaboration on disaster preparedness and response
- Data, database management
- Prime authority, responsibility of local government
- Accountability to associated risk (respective government accountable to the disaster risk of the project they build and operate)
- Residual accountability of Federal Government
- Technical, sectoral issues weather monitoring and forecasting, earthquake monitoring, landslide monitoring and management....

Room for further inputs

